Usefullness of dexmedetomidine as an adjuvant to local anaesthetics in infraclavicular brachial plexus block for prolongation of postoperative analgesia
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Abstract

**Background and Objectives:** The infraclavicular brachial plexus block is a safe and reliable approach to provide intraoperative and postoperative analgesia of upper limb. We evaluated the efficacy of dexmedetomidine added to local anaesthetics in infraclavicular brachial plexus block to hasten the onset of sensory and motor block and to prolong the postoperative analgesia. **Methods:** A prospective randomized double-blind study was carried out in 60 patients aged 18-60 years of ASA grade I and II, who were scheduled for various upper limb surgeries in orthopedics. The patients were divided into two groups of 30 each i.e. **Control Group** (group C) received 20 ml of inj. Bupivacaine + 10 ml of inj. Xylocaine + 10 ml of Normal Saline and **Dexmedetomidine Group** (group D) received 20 ml of inj. Bupivacaine + 10 ml of inj. Xylocaine + 10 ml of Normal Saline + 1 µg/kg of inj. Dexmedetomidine. Both groups were compared for the time of onset of sensory and motor blocks, postoperative analgesia and haemodynamic changes. **Results:** The onset of sensory and motor blockade (2.9 ± 1.0 Vs 8.8 ± 2.22 min and 5.23 ± 1.14 Vs 10.86 ± 2.41 min, respectively) were significantly more rapid in the D group than in the C group (p = 0.0001). The duration of sensory and motor blockade (825 ± 133.83 Vs 412 min ± 74.17 and 878.33 ± 166.23 Vs 409.33 ± 72.01 min respectively) were significantly longer in the D group than in the C group (p = 0.0001). The duration of analgesia (1448.66 ± 288.33 Vs 499 ± 78.79 min) was significantly longer in D group than in the C group (p = 0.0001). Systolic and diastolic blood pressure were lower in D group than C group during the period of anaesthesia from 30 to 120 minutes (in this period patients can be closely monitored and managed) (p < 0.05). Heart rate levels were also low in D group than C group during the period of anaesthesia from 15 to 120 minutes (p < 0.05). **Conclusion:** We conclude that the addition of dexmedetomidine to local anaesthetic mixture in infraclavicular brachial plexus block hastens the onset and prolong the duration of sensory and motor blocks, as well as the duration of postoperative analgesia.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Brachial plexus block for upper limb surgery provides important advantages; compared with general anaesthesia including excellent intraoperative and postoperative pain relief, reduced side effects etc. Various approaches are used for giving brachial plexus block. Each one has its own advantages and disadvantages. The vertical infraclavicular block has been associated with a minimal risk of pneumothorax and has a good reliability. Advantages of this approach are less painful arm positioning, easily palpable landmarks, homogenous spread of anaesthetic in a compact space containing cords and providing good quality of block. However advantages of these blocks are short-lived and limited by the short duration of action of local anaesthetics. So there has always been a continuous search for adjuvants that prolong the duration of anaesthesia. A variety of...
perineural adjuvants\textsuperscript{8} including buprenorphine, clonidine, dexamethasone, magnesium, midazolam\textsuperscript{9,15} etc. have been used to prolong the duration of analgesia of nerve blocks with varying degrees of success. Dexmedetomidine - an $\alpha_2$ adrenocceptor agonist has become the frequently used drug in anaesthesia practice due to its cardiostable, sedative, anxiolytic, analgesic, neuroprotective and anaesthetic sparing effects\textsuperscript{16}. It’s $\alpha_2/\alpha_1$ selectivity is 8 times more than clonidine; thus reducing the unwanted side effects involving $\alpha_1$ receptors. It has been reported to improve the quality of intrathecal and epidural anaesthesia also\textsuperscript{17,18}. In humans, dexmedetomidine has been shown to prolong the duration of block and postoperative analgesia of various regional blocks\textsuperscript{19-22}. The present study was planned with the aim to evaluate the effect of addition of dexmedetomidine to the local anaesthetics in infraclavicular brachial plexus block for upper limb orthopedic surgeries. The characteristics of blockade which were observed were - the onset of sensory and motor blocks, duration of sensory and motor blocks, duration of postoperative analgesia and haemodynamic parameters.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

This prospective randomized double blind study was conducted after obtaining permission from institutional authority and informed written consent from the patients. 60 patients of ASA grade I and II of either sex, aged between 18 to 60 years, scheduled for surgeries on lower arm, elbow, forearm and hand were included in this study. Patients with known hypersensitivity to local anaesthetic drugs, uncontrolled diabetes mellitus, hypertension, peripheral neuropathy, hepatic and renal disease, pregnant patients or coagulopathy were excluded from the study. The patients were randomly divided into 2 groups by drawing out any one of the two labeled cards (C and D) from a sealed envelope. The anaesthetist performing the randomization and preparation of the drug solution was not involved in the process of performing blocks or in evaluation and recording of the study parameters and thus the study was double blinded. The composition of drugs in the two groups included as follows,

**Statistical Analysis**

Interval data are expressed as mean and standard deviation. Student’s ‘t’ test was used to compare the two groups. Chi square test was used for analysis of nonparametric data. A $p$ value of $< 0.05$ was considered statistically significant.

**RESULTS**

**Demographic profile**

There was no statistically significant difference between the demographic profile (age, sex, height, weight), ASA grades and duration of surgery of the two groups. (Table 1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Group C</th>
<th>Group D</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>40.23 ± 13.24</td>
<td>34.23 ± 13.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male : Female</td>
<td>19 : 11</td>
<td>22 : 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>163.6 ± 5.70</td>
<td>164.83 ± 5.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>56.2 ± 5.72</td>
<td>56.7 ± 5.87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of surgery (min)</td>
<td>85 ± 23.76</td>
<td>92 ± 20.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

There was no significant difference between groups

**Sensory block**

The mean onset of sensory block was faster in group D than in group C (2.9 ± 1.0 Vs 5.23 ± 1.14 minutes respectively) (table 2). It was statistically significant ($p < 0.0001$). The duration of sensory block was longer in group D than in group C (825 ± 133.83 minutes Vs 412 ± 74.17 minutes respectively.) It was statistically significant ($p < 0.0001$).

**Motor block**

The mean onset of motor block was also faster in group D as compared to group C. (5.23 ± 1.14 Vs 10.86 ± 2.41 minutes respectively). It is statistically significant ($p < 0.0001$). The duration of motor block was also longer in group D than in group C. (878.33 ± 166.23 minutes Vs 409.33 ±72.01 minutes respectively.) It was statistically significant ($p < 0.0001$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sr. No.</th>
<th>Onset time of sensory block (minutes)</th>
<th>Group C</th>
<th>Group D</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.23 ± 1.14</td>
<td>2.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>10.86 ± 2.41</td>
<td>5.23 ± 1.14</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>412 ± 74.17</td>
<td>825 ±133.83</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>409.33 ±72.01</td>
<td>878.33 ±166.23</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>499 ± 78.79</td>
<td>1448.66 ± 288.33</td>
<td>&lt; 0.0001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

($p$ value > 0.05 – statistically insignificant and $p$ value <0.001 – statistically significant)
Post-operative Analgesia

The duration of analgesia was significantly longer in group D as compared to group C (1448.66 ± 288.33 minutes Vs 499 ± 78.79 minutes respectively with p value < 0.0001) Postoperative distribution of patients according to VAS ≥ 4 (fig.1) shows that all patients in group C required rescue analgesia by 14 hours; whereas in group D the same was true only after 34 hours.

Haemodynamics

Systolic arterial blood pressure levels during the period of anaesthesia i.e. from 15 to 120 minutes were lower in group D than those in group C. (p < 0.05) (fig. 2) though it was clinically insignificant. Diastolic arterial blood pressure levels during the period of anaesthesia i.e. from 60 to 120 minutes were also significantly lower in group D than those in group C. (p < 0.05) (fig. 2) though it was clinically insignificant. Heart rate levels during the period of anaesthesia i.e. from 15 to 120 minutes were observed to be lower in group D than those in group C (p < 0.05). It was also clinically insignificant (fig.3). No patient developed bradycardia (i.e. heart rate < 50 / minute).

(SAP= systolic arterial blood pressure, DAP = diastolic arterial blood pressure)
No side effects like respiratory depression, nausea, vomiting were observed in any group. No patient in either group required general anaesthesia. Four patients in group C required sedation due to mild discomfort, but no patient in group D required sedation.

**DISCUSSION**

We designed this prospective randomized double blind study to evaluate the outcome of adding dexmedetomidine to local anaesthetics in infraclavicular brachial plexus block. Our study showed that addition of 1µg / kg of dexmedetomidine perineurally results in a significantly shorter onset time and prolonged duration of sensory and motor blocks. It also prolongs the time to first analgesic request. The efficacy of dexmedetomidine appears to be comparable with other adjuvants like buprenorphine,9,22 and dexamethasone11 and exceeds that of clonidine, magnesium and midazolam for brachial plexus block10,14. Dexmedetomidine an α2 agonist was first proposed as an adjuvant capable of prolonging duration of sensory and motor blocks produced by nerve blocks by Memis and colleagues19. Some trials have shown that perineural dexmedetomidine reduces onset time and prolongs duration of sensory and motor blocks20,21. However other trials have demonstrated either a delay in sensory and motor block onset time24 or no effect on sensory and motor block durations25 with the use of perineural dexmedetomidine. Our study demonstrated that dexmedetomidine hastens the onset of action and duration of sensory-motor blocks of local anaesthetics when injected perineurally for infraclavicular brachial plexus block, which was statistically significant. Dexmedetomidine is an α2 receptor agonist drug. It has both central and peripheral actions. Exact mechanism of action is not fully understood. High selectivity of dexmedetomidine to α2-A receptors mediates its analgesic and sedative properties. The presence of dexmedetomidine at α2 adrenergic receptors in the dorsal horn of spinal cord and locus ceruleus modulates release of substance P to produce analgesic effects20,27. Several animal and human studies have proved the safety and efficacy of dexmedetomidine in various neuraxial and peripheral nerve blocks17,20,25. Memics et al used a dexmedetomidine and xylocaine mixture in Bier’s block and shown the improved quality of anaesthesia, good tolerance to tourniquet pain and reduced postoperative analgesic requirement. Esmaoglu A et al performed the study and his results were the same25. Abosedira MA compared the effect of adding either clonidine or dexmedetomidine to lidocaine for Bier’s block and found that dexmedetomidine is superior to clonidine in respect to quality of anaesthesia and postoperative analgesia28. In one study Brumett et al found that dexmedetomidine enhances the duration of bupivacaine anaesthesia and analgesia of sciatic nerve in rats with no damage to nerve29. While a number of studies suggest that it is protective against hypoxic ischaemic neuronal injury in rat and human neonatal asphyxia model30-36, dexmedetomidine has also been shown to cause moderate to severe demyelination in white matter when doses as high as 6.1µg / kg were administered epidurally in rabbits37. In another study Brumett et al found that perineural dexmedetomidine when added to ropivacaine causes a dose dependant increase in the duration of blockade of sciatic nerve in rat38. Kousugi et al found that high concentrations of dexmedetomidine inhibits compound action potential in frog sciatic nerve without α2 adrenoceptor activation39. Esmaoglu et al found in his study that dexmedetomidine when added to levobupivacaine for axillary brachial plexus block shortens the sensory-motor onset time, extends sensory-motor block durations and also extends the analgesia periods20. In one study obayah et al reported that addition of dexmedetomidine to bupivacaine for greater palatine nerve block prolongs postoperative analgesia after cleft palate repair21. Finally considerable difference existed in the doses of perineural dexmedetomidine. Doses varied between 30µg, 100µg, 0.75µg / kg and 1µg / kg21,24,40,41. Despite these variations, it is nonetheless noteworthy that significantly prolonged duration of sensory and motor blocks and also time for first analgesic request were achieved even with the lowest doses i.e. 30µg. We used 1µg / kg dose of dexmedetomidine and found the significant extension of block duration without any significant side effects. Dexmedetomidine may lead to side effects such as bradycardia and hypotension along with it’s effects such as sedation and anxiolysis32. The side effects are mostly dose dependant. In our study pulse rate and blood pressure were on lower side 30 minutes after the block but without any bradycardia and hypotension. We used dexmedetomidine in the dose of 1µg / kg according to the previous studies. A volume of 40 ml of local local anaesthetic agents was taken, as this volume was associated with more volume spread for brachial plexus blocks found by Winnie and colleagues33. We found that onset time for sensory and motor blocks were significantly shortened in group D than in group C. The durations of sensory and motor blocks and postoperative analgesia were also significantly prolonged in group D. Our findings are comparable with previous studies34. But we found that the durations of sensory-motor blocks and postoperative analgesia were comparatively more in our study than any other study. All patients in both groups were haemodynamically stable although the pulse rate and blood pressure were on lower side in group D; but it was clinically insignificant. Further
postoperative analgesia. The addition of blocks and also significantly prolongs the duration of brachial plexus block hastens the onset time of sensory and motor blocks, extends the duration of sensory and motor analgesia.

From the aforesaid study and results obtained from it, we conclude that the addition of 1µg/kg dexmedetomidine as an adjuvant to local anaesthetics in infraclavicular brachial plexus block has no significant side effects. However blood pressure and heart rate remains on lower side although clinically insignificant. In the light of haemodynamic side effects, though not significant, further studies are required for authentication.

CONCLUSION
From the aforesaid study and results obtained from it, we conclude that the addition of 1µg/kg dexmedetomidine as an adjuvant to local anaesthetics for infraclavicular brachial plexus block hastens the onset time of sensory-motor blocks, extends the duration of sensory-motor blocks and also significantly prolongs the duration of postoperative analgesia. The addition of dexmedetomidine in brachial plexus block has no significant side effects. However blood pressure and heart rate remains on lower side although clinically insignificant. In the light of haemodynamic side effects, though not significant, further studies are required for authentication.
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