Clinical study of lower limb amputations: A hospital based study

Dasari Lokanadham

 $Associate\ Professor,\ Department\ of\ Surgery,\ RIMS\ Government\ Medical\ College,\ Ongole,\ Andhra\ Pradesh,\ INDIA.$

Email: dasari862@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: Major limb amputation is a public health problem which is associated with various social, economic and psychological effects on the patients and their relatives particularly in developing countries with very poor prosthetic services. **Objective:** Present study was done to describe the clinical profile of Lower Extremity Amputations in surgical cases at our hospital. **Methods:** This is a study of 112 patients visiting the hospital for treatment during the study period. The patients who underwent elective or emergency lower extremity amputation were included in the study. Demographic details like age and sex distribution of the cases was described. The causative pathology for lower extremity amputation was described in male as well as female patients. Distribution of the cases as per elective or emergency amputation was mentioned and its relation to the causative pathology was described. Details regarding the types of amputation done and the limb conservation procedures adopted were also described. **Results and Conclusion:** In our study maximum numbers of cases have occurred in 5th and 6th decades of life. The study comprised of 93 males and 19 females. Male to female ratio was 4.89:1. The most common etiology in our study was vascular disease followed by Diabetes as the second most common cause. Most of the amputations done were emergency amputations comprising 81 (72.3%) cases. The most common type of amputation done among the study subjects was an above knee amputation. Lumbar sympathectomy was the most common limb conservation procedure adopted. Present study gives information regarding the clinical profile of lower limb amputation cases from our hospital.

Keywords: Above knee amputation, Lumbar sympathectomy.

*Address for Correspondence:

Dr. Dasari Lokanadham, Associate Professor, RIMS Government Medical College, Ongole, Andhra Pradesh, INDIA.

Email: dasari862@gmail.com

Received Date: 02/06/2015 Revised Date: 15/07/2015 Accepted Date: 18/06/2015

Access this article online		
Quick Response Code:	Website:	
	www.statperson.com	
	DOI: 20 July 2015	

INTRODUCTION

Surgical amputation has been practiced for therapeutic reasons in conditions like peripheral vascular disease, trauma, infection, tumour and congenital anomalies^{1,2}. Limb amputation has been considered as the last option when limb salvage is not possible or when it is found that the limb is dead or dying or it is endangering the patient's life³. The loss of a limb due to amputation in the developing countries where the prosthetic services are very poor has social, economic and psychological effects on the patient and also their family^{4,5}. The incidences of

different pathologies leading to limb amputation have been reported to vary from one place to the other⁶. Present study was done to describe the clinical profile of Lower Extremity Amputations in surgical cases at the hospital.

METHODS

This was a cross-sectional and descriptive study done at our hospital which included all patients who underwent major limb amputations at the surgery department of our tertiary care hospital during the study duration. This is a study of 112 patients visiting the hospital for treatment during the study period. The patients who underwent elective or emergency lower extremity amputation were described in the study. Demographic details like age and sex distribution of the cases was described. The causative pathology for lower extremity amputation was described in male as well as female patients. Distribution of the cases as per elective or emergency amputation was mentioned and its relation to the causative pathology was described. Details regarding the types of amputation done

and the limb conservation procedures adopted were also described

RESULTS

In our study maximum numbers of cases have occurred in 5th and 6th decades of life. The study comprised of 93 males and 19 females. Male to female ratio was 4.89:1. The most common etiology in our study was vascular disease followed by Diabetes as the second most common cause. Most of the amputations done were emergency amputations comprising 81 (72.3%) cases. The most common type of amputation done among the study subjects was an above knee amputation. Lumbar sympathectomy was the most common limb conservation procedure adopted.

Table 1: Age Distribution of Patients

Table 1. Age Distribution of Patients		
Age in Years	No. of Cases	Percentage
10 years and	02	01.79
below	02	01.79
11-20	04	03.57
21-30	07	06.25
31-40	18	16.07
41-50	23	20.54
51-60	31	27.68
61-70	20	17.86
70 and above	07	06.25
Total	112	100

 Table 2: Sex Distribution of the Patients

 Sex
 No. of Cases
 Percentage

 Male
 93
 83

 Female
 19
 17

 Total
 112
 100

Table 3: Etiology

Etiology	No. of Cases	Percentage
Vascular	52	46.4
Diabetes	28	25
Trauma	15	13.4
Infection	8	7.1
Malignancy	6	5.4
Others	3	2.7
Total	112	100

Table 4: Etiology in Males (n = 93)

Table 4. Lilology III Males (II – 33)		
Etiology	No. of Cases	Percentage
Vascular	48	51.6
Diabetes	20	21.5
Trauma	12	12.9
Infection	7	7.5
Malignancy	5	5.4
Others	1	1.1
Total	93	100

Table 5: Etiology in Females (n = 19)

Etiology	No. of Cases	Percentage
Vascular	4	21.1
Diabetes	8	42.1
Trauma	3	15.8
Infection	1	5.3
Malignancy	1	5.3
Others	2	10.5
Total	19	100

 Table 6: Emergency or Elective Amputation Surgery in relation to

Etiology		
Etiology Emergency		Elective
Vascular	40	12
Diabetes	25	03
Trauma	15	Nil
Infection	7	1
Malignancy	Nil	6
Others	Nil	3
Total	87 (77.7%)	25 (22.3%)

Table 9: Limb Conservation Procedure

Procedure	No. of Cases
Thrombolysis	01
Endarterectomy	01
Lumbar sympathectomy	05
Popliteal artery repair	01

Table 10: Types of Amputation in Males

Types of Amputation	No. of Cases	Percentage
Toe	17	15.5
Ray	11	10
Transmetatarsal	5	4.6
Symes	1	0.9
Below knee	36	32.7
Above knee	40	36.4
Total	110	100

Table 11: Types of Amputation in Females

Types of Amputation	No. of Cases	Percentage
Toe	4	18.2
Ray	3	13.6
Symes	1	4.5
Below knee	6	27.3
Above knee	8	36.4
Total	22	100

DISCUSSION

In our study a total of 112 patients underwent 132 amputations of the lower extremity at different levels. In our study maximum numbers of cases have occurred in 5th and 6th decades of life. Phillipo LC *et al*⁶ have also reported similar results with 5th and 6th decades of life being the most common age group in their study. However a study by Naaeder SB⁷ in Ghana has reported peak age incidence in the 7th decade of life. Some studies have reported a lower peak age incidence for lower limb

amputations^{8,9}. Our study comprised of 93 males and 19 females. Male to female ratio was 4.89:1. Study by Unwin N¹⁰ and Dean AG¹¹ have also found that men have two to three times higher amputation rate than that of women. The most common etiology in our study was vascular disease followed by Diabetes as the second most common cause. Some other studies have also reported vascular disease and diabetes among the most common causes of lower limb amputation 10,11,12. However, many studies from developed countries have shown the diabetes related amputations to be the most common cause of amputation ^{13,14}. The most common types of amputation done among the study subjects were above knee and below knee amputations. Similar to our results there are other studies which have also found above knee amputation as the most common procedure performed^{8, 15}. Thus, present study gives information regarding the clinical profile of lower limb amputation cases from our hospital. Further research needs to be done to evaluate the causes and profile of lower limb amputation cases which may help in better prevention and management strategies to be designed.

REFERENCES

- Pernot HF, Winnubst GM, Cluitmans JJ, De Witte LP. Amputees in Limburg: Incidence, morbidity and mortality, prosthetic supply, care utilization and functional level after one year. Prosthet Orthot Int. 2000; 24:90–6. doi: 10.1080/03093640008726531.
- Olaolorun DA. Amputation in general practice. Niger Postgrad Med J. 2001; 8: 133–135.
- 3. Paudel B, Shrestha BK, Banskota AK. Two faces of major lower limb amputations. Kathmandu University Medical Journal. 2005; 3(11):212–216.

- 4. Essoh JB, Bamba I, Dje Bi Dje V, Traore A, Lambin Y. Limb amputations in adults in an Ivorian Teaching Hospital. Niger J Ortho and Trauma. 2007; 6(2):61–63.
- 5. Yinusa W, Ugbeye ME. Problems of amputation surgery in developing country. Int Orthop. 2003; 27:121–124.
- Phillipo LC, Joseph BM, Ramesh MD, Isdori HN, Alphonce BC, Nkinda M and Japhet MG. Major Limb amputations: A tertiary hospital experience in northwestern Tanzania. J Orthop Surg Res. 2012; 7: 18. doi: 10.1186/1749-799X-7-18
- Naaeder SB. Amputation of the lower limb in Korle-Bu Teaching hospital, Accra. West Afr J Med. 1993; 12:21– 26
- Umaru RH, Gali BM, Ali N. Role of inappropriate traditional splintage in limb amputation in Maiduguri, Nigeria. Annals of African Medicine. 2004; 3(3):138– 140.
- 9. Hazmy W, Mahamud M, Ashikin N. Major limb amputations in Seremban Hospital: a review of 204 cases from 1997–1999. Med J Malaysia. 2001; 56:3–7.
- Gregory-Dean A. Amputations: statistics and trends. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 1991 May; 73(3):137–142.
- 11. Unwin, N. (2000), Epidemiology of lower extremity amputation in centres in Europe, North America and East Asia. Br J Surg, 87: 328–337.
- 12. Carmona GA, Hoffmeyer P, Herrmann FR, Vaucher J, Tschopp O, Lacraz A, *et al.* Major lower limb amputations in the elderly observed over ten years: the role of diabetes and peripheral arterial disease. Diabetes Metab.2005; 31(5):449–54.
- Brodsky JW. The diabetic foot. In: Mann RA, Coughlin MJ, editors. Surgery of the foot and Ankle. 8 th ed. St. Louis, MO: Mosby; 2006. pp. 1281–368.
- Brodsky JW. Amputations of the foot and ankle. In: Mann RA, Coughlin MJ, editors. Surgery of the foot and ankle. 6th ed. St. Louis, MO: Mosby; 1993. pp. 959–90.
- Kidmas AT, Nwadiaro CH, Igun GO. Lower limb amputation in Jos, Nigeria. East Afr Med J. 2004; 81:427–429.

Source of Support: None Declared Conflict of Interest: None Declared