

# Chemical, biological and physical quality assessment of indoor swimming pools in Latur city, Maharashtra, India

Kedar Nathrao A

Department of Chemistry, Dayanand Science College Latur-413512, Maharashtra, INDIA.

Email: [nak12572@gmail.com](mailto:nak12572@gmail.com)

## Abstract

Swimming pools being some of the popular sport centers are able to potentially receive some pollutants such as nasal secretions, saliva and urine and endanger the health of swimmers. The aim of this study was to determine the chemical, biological and physical quality of swimming pools in Latur city. This research was a cross-sectional study. Totally, 100 water samples were collected from five public swimming pools in Latur. Microbial and physicochemical conditions were examined according to the standard method. The average of residual chlorine and pH in pools was  $0.93 \pm 0.51$  mg/L and  $7.3 \pm 0.69$ , respectively. The maximum and minimum of pH were 8.1 and 6.95 and for residual chlorine were 2.06 and 0.24 mg/L respectively. Out of 100 water samples examined, 80 (70%) conformed to the microbiological standards specified, and 20 (35%) exceeded at least one of the indicated limits. The positive samples contained at least one or several types of bacteria. Results indicated that *S aureus* has the highest percentage frequencies while the least was exhibited by *E. coli*. It is recommended that health authorities should pay more attention to cleaning and disinfecting, to prevent infectious disease transfer as a result of contact with contaminated swimming pool.

**Keywords:** Latur Public swimming pools, water quality.

## \*Address for Correspondence:

Dr. Kedar Nathrao A, Department of Chemistry, Dayanand Science College Latur-413512, Maharashtra, INDIA.

Email: [nak12572@gmail.com](mailto:nak12572@gmail.com)

Received Date: 12/05/2016 Revised Date: 08/06/2016 Accepted Date: 02/07/2016

Access this article online	
Quick Response Code:	Website: <a href="http://www.statperson.com">www.statperson.com</a>
	DOI: 08 July 2016

## INTRODUCTION

Water occupies about 70% of the earth surface and is considered the largest natural resources around us<sup>1</sup>. The importance of water includes drinking, washing, cooking, swimming and also cooling the ecosystem<sup>2</sup>. Therefore, its importance to humans cannot be overlooked. But in spite of the awareness to safeguard our waters, the resource is still contaminated by pathogenic microorganism<sup>3</sup>. Swimming is an active and healthy way to spend free time and it one of the popular activities in. It is also interesting and all friendly as a public sport and

recreation<sup>5</sup>. Cause various illness in public pools and expose bathers to diseases<sup>6</sup>. Nowadays, swimming in public pools has been recognized as one of the most important illness or infection transmission channel in the world<sup>7</sup>. The risk of various disease related to swimming in pools has been associated with contaminated water due to body shedding of bather includes body fluids such as urine, blood, saliva or vomit, hair, release of respiratory, digestive, and genital bacteria, and other harmful bacteria from skin<sup>8-10</sup>. A variety of microorganism can be found in swimming pools, which may be introduction in the pool water in a number of ways<sup>11, 12</sup>. In many cases, the risk of illness or infection has been linked to faecal contamination of the water, due to faecess released by bathers or a contaminated source water or, in outdoor pools, may be the result of direct animal contamination<sup>13, 14</sup>. Microorganism detectable in swimming pools water usually originate from the skin, mucous membranes, clothing and faeces released by swimmers<sup>15</sup>. Contamination can also come from pets especially dogs that occasionally wander around these swimming pools as well as from debris already around

the properties<sup>16</sup>. In this study, we determined the microbial and physiochemical water quality of five public and private swimming pools in the Latur city India and compared the parameters to the World Health Organization standards for recreational waters.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross-sectional study was conducted in five indoor swimming pools of Latur city in Maharashtra. This study was conducted between January to March 2015. Before sampling a pre tested data collection were done through the direct observation of the environment of the selected swimming pools, interview with swimming pool operators to get information on the average number of swimmers, swimming bathing loads and average age group of pool users. The operators of the swimming pools were also interviewed for information about recycling of the swimming pool water, treatment methods of swimming pool water and disinfection and type of chlorine used. Research decided to take 20 samples from each swimming pool and 100 samples in total. 100 specimen were collected in the morning and the evening in the 2015 from top, shallow and deep level for testing microbial by sterilized bottles and were immediately transferred to the lab. There were also 100 samples for testing chemical and physical water quality such as temperature, pH, chlorine residual, color, turbidity, dissolved oxygen, hardness. All samples were placed in cold storage (4<sup>0</sup> C) immediately after sampling. Temperature, PH, chlorine residual, dissolved oxygen were measured in situ. Alkalinity was determined by titration with sulfuric acid to a pH of 4.5 Hardness was determined by titration with a chelating agent, ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) and Eriochrome Black T as indicator. All the sampling and analysis were carried out according to the standard water and wastewater experiments and the approved guidelines for microbial quality assessment of swimming pool water which have been published by Institute of Standards and Industrial Research of India. Thus, HPC bacteria, count of total coliform and E. coli, intestinal enterococci, and P.

aeruginosa were found to be 5271, 3759, 3620, respectively, using national standard methods<sup>17-20</sup>. The parasitic quality and S. aureus were measured (microscope, Nikon model, and centrifuge according to the methods approved by Institute of Standards and Industrial Research of India.

## RESULT

The total of 20 samples, all selected swimming pools in the Latur were investigated all the selected swimming pools had a bathing load  $\leq 200$  per day. It was observed from the stud that all the swimming pools operators claim to disinfect their pool water with chorine; but majority (20%) of the pool operators chlorinated their pools manually with powdered chlorine. All swimming pools were free from floating material during all visits and were clean during the sampling period. The results of physico-chemical parameters in five Indoor swimming pool water in Latur city showed that the average of temperature was 38.02<sup>0</sup> C and the minimum and maximum temperature were 28.2 and 31.85<sup>0</sup> C, respectively. As depicted in Table 1, the average of residual chlorine and pH in pools was 0.93 $\pm$ 0.51 mg/L and 7.3 $\pm$ 0.69, respectively. The maximum and minimum of pH were 8.1 and 6.95 and for residual chlorine were 2.06 and mg/L respectively. Out of 100 water samples examined 80 (75%) conformed to the microbiological standards specified in Table 1, and 20 (25%) exceeded at least one of the indicated limits. The positive samples contained at least one or several types of bacteria. The most important isolated types were E. coli, Clostridium perfringens, Staphylococcus aureus, Pseudomonas aeruginosa and Klebsiella pneumonia (30 samples). Figure 1 shows the percentage frequencies of isolates obtained in this study: Enterobacter aero genes (40%), Clostridium perfringens (25%), Klebsiella pneumonia (30%), Escherichia coli (20%), Pseudomonas aero genes (65%), Staphylococcus aureus (80%) and Enterococcus faecalis (40%). This indicated that S. aureus had the highest percentage frequencies while the least was exhibited by E. Coli.

**Table 1:** Mean and standard deviations values of physico-chemical parameters of studied pools

Parameters Code	Residual chlorine (mg/L)	pH	Temperature <sup>0</sup> C	Turbidity NTU	Alkalinity mg/L (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )	Hardness mg/l (CaCO <sub>3</sub> )
1	0.80 $\pm$ 0.40	7.32 $\pm$ 0.40	23.32 $\pm$ 0.68	0.80 $\pm$ 0.58	124 $\pm$ 170	212 $\pm$ 60.4
2	0.60 $\pm$ 0.42	7.20 $\pm$ 0.32	24.20 $\pm$ 0.32	0.54 $\pm$ 0.22	140 $\pm$ 46.6	230 $\pm$ 76.9
3	0.70 $\pm$ 0.69	7.14 $\pm$ 0.69	22.04 $\pm$ 0.82	0.82 $\pm$ 0.62	110.0 $\pm$ 66.2	93.0 $\pm$ 50.7
4	1.30 $\pm$ 0.39	8.30 $\pm$ 0.59	18.30 $\pm$ 0.68	0.62 $\pm$ 0.48	92 $\pm$ 40.2	182.4 $\pm$ 42.3
5	0.45 $\pm$ 0.72	8.21 $\pm$ 0.72	14.21 $\pm$ 0.92	0.97 $\pm$ 0.52	150.2 $\pm$ 42.6	260.9 $\pm$ 90.2
Total Average and SD	0.77 $\pm$ 0.52	7.63 $\pm$ 0.54	20.14 $\pm$ 0.68	0.75 $\pm$ 0.48	123.2 $\pm$ 73.5	195.66 $\pm$ 64.1




Figure 1: Percentage (%) bacterial contamination of the surveyed swimming pools

## DISCUSSION

In this study, physico-chemical parameters were lower or higher than standard levels, so it is necessary to pay more attention to the physico-chemical parameters. The pool water pH was at range of 6.95 to 8.1 and the average pH over the sampling period was  $7.63 \pm 0.54$ . Although, the gap between minimum and maximum values was high but also standard deviation is 0.69 units. According to guidelines, the recommended pH for swimming pool water is 7.2 to 8 ranges. Low pH of water can result in corrosive nature of water, skin and eye irritation, loss of chlorine, and skin stains in swimmers. In order to prevent eye irritation, the national standards recommended that in the water pH range from 7.2 to 8, free residual chlorine should be 0.5 to 2 mg/L and at range of pH 7.5 to 7.6, the amount of free should be 0.6 mg/L. In present study, the mean of free residual chlorine concentration was  $0.77 \pm 0.52$  mg/L, hence it was lower than the national standard value. In our study, the main reason of low free chlorine residual were chlorination defect, presence ammonia nitrogen and organic matter. About 1 mg/L free chlorine residual is sufficient to reduce *Escherichia coli*, *Legionella pneumophila*, *aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, and *Candidia*. So, there was a positive between the levels of microbial parameter and physicochemical conditions. It was shown that the swimming pools with particular desirability of physicochemical parameters (especially, free chlorine level) had a sufficient condition in terms of microbial quality. In the current study, 35% of the samples were positive for bacteria, and different types of bacteria including *E. coli*, *Proteus*, *Acinetobacter*, *P. alcaligenes*, *P. aeruginosa* and *K. pneumonia* were isolated. In study in Greece, 32.9% of the samples were contaminated and resistant bacteria such as *P. alcaligenes*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *P. aeruginosa* and *K. Pneumonia* were isolated<sup>9</sup>. Akshay Swimming pools, showed that the total coliform, heterotrophic plate count (HPC), and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in 40%, 11.6% and 21.1% of the samples were more than standard, respectively. In Hutcheson et al study in

Muktai, 42% of the samples contained *E. coli* and *Pseudomonas*. The results of this study are in line with the results of other studies; however, the percentage of bacterial contamination (25%) was less than other studies. This result indicates better hygiene control in the swimming pools of Latur.

## CONCLUSION

According to the results presence of bacterial contamination may be related to hygiene level of pools, as well as physico-chemical parameters especially residual chlorine. In this study, physico-chemical parameters were lower or higher than standard levels, so it is necessary to pay more attention to the physico-chemical parameters, especially residual chlorine, by the managers of swimming pools. In general, monitoring of physico-chemical parameters in swimming pools can play an important role in control of pathogens.

## REFERENCES

1. Dindarloo K, Soleimani Ahmadi M, Zare Sh, Heidari M. Journal of Hormozgan University of Medical Sciences, 2006, 9 (1): 41-6
2. Mahdinejad MH. Journal of Gorgan University of Medical Sciences, 2003, 5 (2): 89-95.
3. Blandson B. Bol Asoc Med PR 1991; 83 (5): 181-184
4. Zangiabadi A, Aali R, Ghanbari R, Zarei A. Journal health system, 2011, 6 (45): 800-9.
5. Barben j, Hafen G, Schmid J.J. Cyst Fibros 2005; 4 (4): 227-31.
6. Kohlhammer Y, Doring A, Schafer T, Wichman HF, Heinrich J. Allergy 2006; (11): 1305-9.
7. Florentin A, Hautemaniere A, Hartemann P. Int J Hyg Environ Health 2011; 214 (6): 461-9.
8. Schets FM, Schijven JF, de Roda Husman AM. Water Res 2011; 45 (7): 2392-400.
9. Papadopoulou C, Economou V, Sakkas H, Gouisa P, Giannakopoulos X, Dontorou C, and et al. Int. J. Hyg. Environ Health 2008; 211:385-397
10. Rabi A, Khader Y, Alkafajei A, Aqoulah AA. Int. Environ Res Public Health 2007; 4 (4): 301-6Nikaeen M,

- Hatamzadeh M, Vahid Destjerdi M, Hassanzadeh A, Mosavi Z, Rafie M. J Isfahan Med Sc hool 2010; 28(108):346-56.
11. hool 2010; 28(108):346-56.
  12. Rigas F, Mavridou A, Zacharopoulos A. Int. J. Environ Health Res 1998; 8(3): 253-60
  13. Hajjartabar M. water Sci. Technol 2004; 50 (1):63-7
  14. Tesauro M, Bianchi A, Consonni M, Bollani M, Cesaria M, Trolli F. Ann Ig; 2010; 22(4): 345-355
  15. Cappello M.J. Environ Health 2011; 73(7): 19-25
  16. Martins MT, Sato MIZ, Alves MN, Stoppe NC, Prado VM, Sanchez PS. Water Res. 1995; 29(10): 2417-20
  17. Institute of Standards and Industrial Research of Iran (ISIRI) 1996 P. 3759. Available from: <http://www.isiri.org/Portal/Home/>
  18. Institute of Standards and Industrial Research of Iran (ISIRI) 2006 P. 8869. Available from: <http://www.isiri.org/Portal/Home/>

Source of Support: None Declared  
Conflict of Interest: None Declared