

Radiological correlation of MRI findings with histopathological diagnosis in Diagnosing Ovarian Masses

Dr. Anjali Pawar¹, Dr. Sartaj Pathan², Dr. Devidas Dahiphale^{3*}

References:

1. Brown DL, Dudiak KM, Laing FC. Diagnostic and interventional radiology of ovarian neoplasms. Radiol Clin North Am. 1993;31(4):769-785.
2. Outwater EK, et al. Ovarian carcinoma: value of adding gadolinium-enhanced MR imaging to conventional MR imaging for characterizing lesions. Radiology. 1998;208(3):823-829.
3. Hricak H, et al. Ovarian masses: assessment of MR imaging criteria for differentiation of benign and malignant lesions. Radiology. 1991;181(3):843-848.
4. Sohaib SA, et al. Characterization of adnexal mass lesions on MR imaging. American Journal of Roentgenology. 2003;180(5):1297-1304.
5. Balci O, et al. Ovarian masses: comparison of MR and CT findings with surgical findings. European Journal of Radiology. 2001;39(1):56-61.
6. Moore RG, et al. Value of MR imaging in predicting ovarian malignancy. Radiology. 2010;256(3):650-659.
7. Sahdev A, et al. Role of imaging in the assessment of ovarian malignancies. Clinical Radiology. 2010;65(10):743-760.
8. Pedrosa I, et al. MR imaging of pelvic tumors in pregnancy. Radiographics. 2009;29(2):487-507.
9. Lee SI, et al. CT and MRI of adnexal masses in patients with primary nonovarian malignancy. American Journal of Roentgenology. 2003;180(3):755-759.